Exhibit 2

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12395

ma .

Claimant: CARLETON UNIVERSITY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documen	ts relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail the build	to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ding.
18. Documen	ts concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the prope	rty. ☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because;
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the ent, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documen	ts concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product. ☑ No documents were provided. ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documen	ts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property. ☐ No documents were provided. ☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

10/21/2005 BMCID Rev3a